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ABSTRACT

“It is through cooperation, rather than conflict, that your greatest successes will be derived” (www.inspiring-quotes-and-stories.com, 26 March 2007). This proclamation can be aptly applied to the notion that an integrated healthcare team that is open to an interdisciplinary approach to a patient’s healthcare needs, will have the patient’s best interests at heart and most likely a holistic solution as opposed to treating the patient with individual approaches.

The aim of this study was to establish the inter-referral patterns between Chiropractors and Physiotherapists in the Western and Northern regions of Johannesburg, as there had been no research conducted in this regard. In this way an assessment of interdisciplinary communication and referral could be established between the two professions and steps could then be initiated to improve this if found necessary.

A questionnaire was sent to Chiropractors and Physiotherapists in this region. These questionnaires were tailored to the professional discipline of the subject. It asked a range of questions relating to their background, knowledge of the other profession being surveyed and their opinion on the credibility of the other profession’s ability to treat certain conditions. The results were then tabulated and statistically calculated and verified to establish the end results.

The results of this study found that Chiropractors were more open to referrals to Physiotherapists regarding only certain conditions, whilst Physiotherapists were less inclined to refer to Chiropractors in general.
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