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Abstract—The IEEE is currently planning an extensive workforce development (WFD) programme through its volunteer and associated networks. Together with environmental scans, potential learning areas and contributing partners are being solicited. The Institute of Physics has an established workshop in Entrepreneurship for Scientists and Engineers, which may partially fulfil this role. This paper describes the format and content of this workshop, constructively evaluating it keeping in mind metrics relating to WFD. Future value-adds for IEEE involvement are identified.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since its inception, the IEEE has advocated professional development among its members, but especially its volunteers, a necessary component of being universally recognised for the contributions of technical professionals in improving global conditions [1]. Although a stated goal, this concept has only recently been formalised by the establishment of a Workforce Development (WFD) working group in 2012, as a volunteer development drive. While WFD has several thrusts, in this paper, an entrepreneurship workshop is being proposed analogous to the well-known IEEE Teacher In-Service Programme (TISP) [2] programme aimed at the development of pre-university educators/teachers. The vision of this working group is that, by 2018, the IEEE will be recognised as the principal facilitator of Engineering, Computing and Technology (ECT) education, training and capacity development in Africa.

Two key views of this development programme are that it needs to equip volunteers holistically for the ECT environment, without establishing novel programmes or schools itself. The former involves significant development of non-technical skills, one of which is an understanding of entrepreneurship and intellectual property in an ECT environment. The latter, on the other hand, requires partnership and collaboration to achieve this goal.

In recognition of this need among their own members & partnering organisations, the Institute of Physics (IoP) have hosted fourteen Entrepreneurship Workshops for Scientists and Engineers globally [3], the latest of which was hosted in Durban, South Africa, on 20 - 24 May 2013 [4]. This paper presents an overview of the nature, content and format of this event, and constructively evaluates it within the context of WFD as a possible initiative to support the WFD objectives.

II. WORKSHOP THEMES

The workshop covered a wide range of themes related to Entrepreneurship and related concepts, a brief overview of which is presented below.

A. Nature of engineering and scientific entrepreneurship: Commercialisation of ideas

The primary focus of this workshop was establishing the knowledge and skills required to apply entrepreneurial principles to chief product of engineers and scientists, namely innovation. This was a key differentiation between this workshop and a more generalised entrepreneurship workshop, and was stressed throughout the event. Several sessions dealt with specific questions relating to the commercialisation of innovation, from basic research all the way through to the delivery of a product to a mature market, including the assessment of technology readiness levels (TRLs).

Fig. 1: Workshop in progress. Note the panellists at the front and the delegates seated in groups

Significant emphasis was placed on identifying a product from an idea, identifying a suitable business model and establishing suitable financial and marketing metrics. Other options, such as licensing or marketing intellectual property
management teams. This included a critical evaluation of in-house and outsourced management functions, focusing on the contribution of core efficiencies to achieve a successful enterprise.

G. Communication and Presentation Skills

A critical skill in innovation entrepreneurship is the ability to extend the innovator's communication and presentation skills beyond the technical realm into finance, marketing and basic legal matters. This training was addressed in a dedicated session, but also stressed throughout the workshop by all of the speakers. Specific techniques to stating a business case were covered, as well as basic techniques of communication in negotiation.

H. Furthering Entrepreneurship Education

Since the majority of delegates had ties with higher education institutions (HEIs), the final session of the workshop equipped delegates on how to establish entrepreneurship as a taught course at their own institutions. They were presented with a sample curriculum, compiled by the IoP based on the workshop content, and briefed on its application. The need for entrepreneurship training in science curriculums was stressed throughout.

III. WORKSHOP FORMAT AND STYLE

Key to the successful presentation of the workshop is its presentation and format, as to facilitate effective learning.

A. Interactive Nature

Although the workshop sessions were structured around presented lectures, the floor was open to group discussions continually. This interactive nature allowed the speakers to pursue specific topics relevant to the audience, with other speakers and workshop attendees being attracted to discussions. Furthermore, most lectures concluded with group assignments and short feedback sessions.

The workshop itself was concluded with a formal feedback session, during which attendees could provide feedback in an open forum. This was done in addition to feedback questionnaires collected upon conclusion of the workshop. The feedback was considered as favourable, however work beyond such a workshop is the ultimate “tool” to evaluate success. The proposed IEEE involvement is likely to approach the workshop with following up beyond the event and in this way measuring success.

B. Case Studies

A critical success factor in the presentation of the workshop was the focus on case studies. These were either organically included in topic-specific presentations (IP, investors, etc.) or presented as sessions in their own right. The latter were particularly insightful, as these were presented by the original innovators themselves, with critical analyses of
context, pitfalls and success factors. Several local guest speakers were also invited to present their case studies, making these locally relevant.

C. Team Project

Delegates were divided into teams on the first day of the workshop, and tasked to present a start-up financing presentation at the conclusion of the workshop with one of the workshop presenters assigned to each group as a mentor. Each day the groups were allowed a few hours to work on the presentation, adding content relating to the sessions of the day (eg. financials, marketing plan, technology readiness assessment, etc.). This project was not only an invaluable networking tool among delegates, but also gave them a feel for applying the workshop principles in practice. At the end of the workshop each group had 10 minutes to present their projects, with 10 minutes of Q&A with the workshop speakers serving as panellists.

D. Locally Relevant

Although principles were discussed in general terms, the application of those in local contexts was stressed throughout. To this end, local guest speakers were invited to present case studies, local governmental and private entities were represented as financing opportunities and delegates were briefed on IP legislation by local experts. The local relevance really empowered delegates to pursue commercialisation opportunities of their innovations, arming them with specific local knowledge and giving them confidence to take steps in being entrepreneurial about their research.

IV. Speakers

The workshop, although organised by the IoP and the SA Institute of Physics (SAIP), was facilitated by speakers from mostly outside the IoP. The speaker line-up was selected to include a range of industry experts, including:

- Corporate communications directors
- Innovation commercialisation consultants
- Financial directors
- Inventors and entrepreneurs, both from Higher Education and other sectors
- Intellectual Property practitioners

The instructors were assisted in some sessions by local South African speakers, including representatives from the local public sector, local entrepreneurs and academia, local IP attorneys and local venture capital managers.

V. Post-workshop Activities

It was the stated goal of this workshop that participants were empowered and guided to be entrepreneurs afterwards, in light of which some measures were undertaken to facilitate this ongoing process. This is, however, one aspect of the workshop where further involvement by the organising body would have added significant value. The current measures include:

A. Further use of conference website

The conference website was employed, after the conclusion of the workshop, as a repository for speaker notes and contact details. Participants were also encouraged to share their own material and notes in this forum.

B. Pursuing networking links

Attendees were encouraged to network among themselves using professional or social media, to preserve ties established during the workshop.

C. Higher Education Curriculum

A DVD with a full semester curriculum was distributed to all delegates, to enable them to establish entrepreneurship courses at their own institutions. These include detailed course notes, assignments and assessments.

VI. IEEE Involvement Value-adds

This workshop may benefit from future IEEE involvement through a number of unique value-adds the IEEE, as the world's largest technical society, could provide.

A. Access to speakers

The IEEE has access to a network of over 40,000 volunteers, of various disciplines and with global reach. This network will facilitate not only to attract speakers from a wide range of technical and commercial backgrounds, but also providing local expertise wherever workshops are hosted. Sub-networks to leverage within the IEEE include volunteers from the Technology Management Council.

B. Support networks for workshop development

Through its global network of volunteers, the IEEE can also facilitate networking between attendees and mentors, not only at the workshop, but also after the workshop wherever delegates return to. The model could be analogous to IEEE’s mastery of the TISP, i.e. a model to “train the trainers/volunteers.” Such an approach would provide a more stable platform for workshop follow-up activities, having local experts and advisors in place as soon as delegates return from the workshop. The IEEE volunteer network could also be harnessed effectively as local instructors for further workshops globally, allowing for organic growth of this training programme. This approach could be an opportunity for emerging IEEE sub-sections or sections in Africa, where concepts such as incubation associated to HEIs is less developed.

C. e-Learning Resources

The IEEE has at its disposal several e-Learning resources (IEEE eLearning library), through which further training and mentoring post-workshop can be facilitated. This will add significantly both to this event as an IEEE WFD activity, but also to further development of entrepreneurship globally.
VII. CONCLUSION

Entrepreneurship is a key skill in the development of engineers and technical professionals. The IoP currently has an effective workshop programme to facilitate this development. Future IEEE collaboration will allow this event to reach an even wider audience, catering for various IEEE designated fields of interest, with specific content focus, as well as allowing for more effective post-event interaction and development.
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