

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	NUMBER
1.1 Background to the research problem	1
1.2 A cross-cultural perspective	3
1.3 General aims of the study	3
1.4 Delimitation of the study	4
1.5 Structure of the chapters	4
CHAPTER 2	
DEFINITION AND DISCUSSION OF THE MAIN CONCEPTS	
2.1 The Attitude construct	5
2.2 Prejudice and discrimination	5
2.3 Ethnocentrism	6
2.4 Culture	6
2.5 Values	7
2.6 Race versus culture	7
2.7 Individualism and Collectivism	8
2.8 The role of Individualism and Collectivism in in-group/out-group relations	10
2.9 Determinants of cultural value-orientations	10
2.10 Acculturation	11
2.11 Social identity and intergroup relations	13
2.12 Inter-group / cross-cultural relations in South Africa	14
CHAPTER 3	
LITERATURE REVIEW: THEORIES OF INTER-GROUP PERCEPTIONS AND BEHAVIOURS	
3.1 Introduction	16
3.2 Intra-individual approaches	17
3.2.1 The Frustration-Aggression Hypothesis.	17
3.2.2 Psychoanalytic theories	17
3.2.3 The Theory of Authoritarianism	17
3.3 Social cognitive approaches	18
3.3.1 Theories of attribution	18
3.3.2 The theory of social categorization	19
3.4 Inter-group approaches	20
3.4.1 The Realistic Conflict Theory	20
3.4.2 The theory of Relative Deprivation	21
3.4.3 The Social Identity Theory	22
3.4.3.1 A critical overview of the social identity theory	23
3.4.3.2 Social identification and Individualism -Collectivism	24
3.5 Determinants of improved group perceptions	27
3.5.1 The Social contact hypothesis	28
3.5.2 Limitations of the Contact Hypothesis	29
3.5.3 Models of decategorization, recategorization and mutual intergroup differentiation	29
3.5.4 Contact and the theory of acculturation	30
3.6 Summary, conclusions and positioning of the present study	31

CHAPTER 4
OVERVIEW OF INTERGROUP ATTITUDE RESEARCH

4.1 Introduction	33
4.2 Group attitudes and cultural variation	33
4.3 Contact and group perceptions	36
4.4 Intergroup attitude studies in South Africa	38
4.5 Conclusions and positioning of the present study	41

CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1 Statement of the problem	43
5.2 Research objectives	43
5.3 Research Hypotheses and postulates	44
5.3.1 Postulate 1	44
5.3.1.1 Postulate 1.1	44
5.3.1.2 Rationale	44
5.3.2.1 Postulate 1.2	44
5.3.2.2 Rationale	44
5.3.3.1 Postulate 2	45
5.3.3.2 Rationale	45
5.3.4.1 Hypothesis 1	45
5.3.4.2 Rationale	45
5.3.5.1 Hypothesis 2	46
5.3.5.2 Rationale	46
5.3.6.1 Hypothesis 3	47
5.3.6.2 Rationale	47
5.3.7.1 Hypothesis 4	48
5.3.7.2 Rationale	49
5.3.8.1 Hypothesis 5	49
5.3.8.2 Hypothesis 6	49
5.3.8.3 Rationale	50
5.4 Method	50
5.4.1 Sample	50
5.4.1.1 Age	51
5.4.1.2 Gender	52
5.4.1.3 Academic year of study	53
5.4.1.4 Faculty of study	54
5.4.1.5 Resident students vs. day -students	55
5.4.1.6 Nationality	55
5.4.1.7 Cultural-group membership/orientation	56
5.4.1.8 Language	57
5.4.2 Research Design	57
5.4.3 Procedure	58
5.4.4 Instrumentation	59
5.4.4.1 The Semantic Differential Attitude Scale	60
5.4.4.2 The Individualism and Collectivism Scales (IS/CS)	61
5.4.5 Ethical considerations	63
5.4.6 Data analysis procedures	63



CHAPTER 6 RESULTS

6.1 Descriptive statistics	65
6.2 The psychometric characteristics of the research instruments	66
6.2.1 Postulates 1.1 and 1.2: Construct validity and associated reliability of the IS and CS scores.	66
6.2.2 Postulate 2: Factor analysis of the Semantic Differential Scale (SDS) for the perceptions of each of the five cultural-orientation groups	67
6.3 Between-group comparisons of the IS and CS scores	78
6.3.1 Hypothesis 1: Differences between male and female respondents	78
6.3.2 Hypothesis 2: Differences between resident vs. day-students	80
6.3.3 Hypothesis 3: Differences between the five cultural-orientation groups.	81
6.3.4 Hypothesis 4: Differences between the Afrikaans, English and African language groups	86
6.4 Between-group comparisons for the SDS scores pertaining to the perceptions of the five cultural-orientation groups	89
6.4.1 Hypothesis 1: Differences between the male and female respondents	90
6.4.2 Hypothesis 2: Differences between resident and day-students	92
6.4.3 Hypothesis 3: Differences between the five cultural-orientation groups	94
6.4.4 Hypothesis 4: Differences between the three homelanguage groups	103
6.5 Correlations between the IS/CS and the SDS Attitude scores.	109

CHAPTER SEVEN DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

7.1 The psychometric characteristics of the research instruments	111
7.1.1 Postulates 1.1 and 1.2: Construct validity and reliability of the IS and CS	111
7.1.2 Postulate 2: The construct validity and reliability of the SDS	112
7.2 Between-group comparisons for the IS and CS scores	113
7.2.1 Hypothesis 1: Differences between male and female respondents	113
7.2.2 Hypothesis 2: Differences between resident and day-students	114
7.2.3 Hypothesis 3: Differences between the five cultural-orientation groups	115
7.2.4 Hypothesis 4: Differences between the three first/home-language groups	117
7.3 Between-group comparisons for the perceptions of the five cultural-orientation groups	118
7.3.1 Hypothesis 1: Differences between the male and female respondents	118
7.3.2 Hypothesis 2: Differences between resident and day-students	119
7.3.3 Hypothesis 3: Differences between the five cultural-orientation groups	121
7.3.4 Hypothesis 4: Differences between the three home-language groups	126
7.4 Hypothesis 5 and 6: Correlations for the IS/CS scores and the SDS attitude scores	129
7.5 The limitations with regards to this study	130

CHAPTER 8 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Summary	133
8.1.1 Chapter 1: General introduction, problem statement, purpose and the research aims of this study	133
8.1.2 Chapter 2: Definition and discussion of the main concepts	134
8.1.3 Chapter 3: Literature review	135
8.1.4 Chapter 4: Review of the empirical literature	137
8.1.5 Chapter 5: Research methodology	137
8.1.6 Chapter 6: Results	139

8.1.6.1	The psychometric characteristics of the research instruments	139
8.1.6.2	Group comparisons of the IS and CS scores	139
8.1.6.2.1	Hypothesis 1: Differences between male and female respondents	139
8.1.6.2.2	Hypothesis 2: Differences between the resident and day-students	139
8.1.6.2.3	Hypothesis 3: Differences between the five cultural-orientation groups	140
8.1.6.2.4	Hypothesis 4: Differences between the three home language groups	140
8.1.6.3	Group comparisons of the SDS attitude scores for the perceptions of the five cultural-orientation groups	140
8.1.6.3.1	Hypothesis 1: Differences between male and female respondents	141
8.1.6.3.2	Hypothesis 2: Differences between resident and day-students	141
8.1.6.3.3	Hypothesis 3: Differences between the five cultural-orientation groups	141
8.1.6.3.4	Hypothesis 4: Differences between the three language groups	142
8.1.6.4	The correlations between the IS and CS scores, and the SDS attitude scores for the perceptions of the five cultural orientation groups	142
8.1.7	Chapter 7: Discussion of the research findings	142
8.1.7.1	The psychometric characteristics of the research instruments	143
8.1.7.2	Group comparisons of the IS and CS scores	143
8.1.7.3	Group comparisons of the SDS attitude scores for the perceptions of each of the five cultural-orientation groups	143
8.1.7.4	Correlations between the IS and CS scores and the SDS attitude scores	144
8.2	Recommendations	145
8.2.1	Recommendations for intervention strategies	145
8.2.2	Recommendations for future research	145
	List of references	147

