

Declaration

I hereby declare that this dissertation is my own work and has not been submitted for a degree at any other university.

A. R. Meth



This dissertation is dedicated to
my mother, Nora Van Dyk. You are my pillar of strength.
Thank you for your courage, wisdom and unselfish love.
You are the gift that keeps on giving.



Acknowledgements

I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to:

- My Inspiration and source of strength, the Most High God.
- To Lester for his availability and the big amount of work he put in to see this study through. Thank you for being there for me in an unconditional, unlimited way.
- My family, my parents for their company and endless patience, my sister for understanding and my brother for his unconditional acceptance.
- My supervisor, Brenda Radebe, for your patience, understanding and wisdom.
- The Department of Psychology and especially the Community Psychology Masters program coordinators, for allowing me to begin a journey that taught me more about myself than what I knew existed. It was truly a growing experience.
- Shereen, thank you for your positive building input.
- Shadrack Davis and Juliet Wendricks for your ready assistance
- The teachers for their contribution
- The parents who committed themselves to the co-creation of community. Thank you for your support and perseverance, you made this study possible.

Table of contents

<i>Declaration</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Table of contents</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>xi</i>
<u><i>Chapter one</i></u>	
<u><i>Introduction</i></u>	
1. Problem Identification	1
2. Structuring of further chapters	4
<i>Literature review</i>	
<u><i>Chapter two</i></u>	
<u><i>2.1. Community Psychology</i></u>	
2.1.1. Introduction	5
2.1.2. Exploring the term community	5
2.1.2.1. Defining community	6
2.1.2.1.1. Community as collective power	7
2.1.2.1.2. Geographical Community	8
2.1.2.1.3. The relational community	8
2.1.2.1.4. A sense of community	9
2.1.2.1.5. Community building	10
2.1.3. Community Psychology	11



2.1.3.1.	Epistemology of community psychology	12
2.1.3.2.	The paradigm shift	15
2.1.3.3.	Systems theory	17
2.1.3.3.1.	The systems perspective	17
2.1.3.4.	The ecological perspective	18
2.1.3.4.1.	The ecological model	18
2.1.3.4.2.	The principles of ecology	19
2.1.3.5.	The mental health model	21
2.1.3.6.	The social action model	22
2.1.3.7.	The organizational model	23
2.1.3.8.	Principles of community psychology	24
2.1.3.8.1.	Prevention	25
2.1.3.8.2.	Empowerment	26
2.1.3.9.	Social constructionism	29
2.1.3.10.	The role of language in constructionism	30
2.1.3.11.	The role of the community psychologist	31
2.1.3.11.1.	The role of community psychology in South Africa	33
2.1.3.11.2.	Community psychology and interventions in schools	34
2.1.4.	Conclusion	35

2.2. Interplay between Parents and Teachers

2.2.1.	Introduction	38
2.2.1.2.	An holistic view of children	40
2.2.1.3.	Reflected appraisal	43
2.2.2.	Collaboration between parents and teachers	44

2.2.2.1.	Divide parents and teachers and conquer them	46
2.2.3.	Barriers in community creation	48
	A. Adult Literacy and socio- economic status of parents	49
	B. Language and culture as barriers between parents and teachers	50
	C. Single parents as barrier to community forming	56
	D. School phobia as a barrier to interaction between parents and teachers	57
	E. De-motivated teachers as a barrier between parents and teachers	59
	F. Blaming each other as a barrier between parents and teachers	60
2.2.4.	A Standard for Involvement of parents, home and community	60
2.2. 4.1.	World standards for parental involvement	61
2.2.4.2.	Context in South African schools	65
2.2.4.2.1.	Large class sizes	65
2.2.4.2.2.	Theories of learning, and the roles of home and school	67
2.2.4.2.3.	The role of the parent in the co-creation of community	68
2.2.4.2.4.	The responsibility of the school in the co-creation of community	70
2.2.5.	Conclusion	74

Chapter 3

Research Methodology

3.1.	About the research project	77
------	----------------------------	----

3.2.	The research methodology	80
3.2.1.	The qualitative approach	80
3.2.2.	Action research	82
3.2.3.	Lewin's cycle of planning action and fact gathering	83
3.2.4.	Reason and Rowan's dialectical cycle of research	87
3.2.5.	Participants	90
3.2.5.1.	The ecological map	93
3.2.6.	Group discussions	94
3.2.7.	Interview	95
3.2.8.	Data analysis	97
3.2.9.	Validation of findings	98
3.3.	Conclusion	98



Chapter 4

Discussion of Research Results

4.1.	Introduction	103
4.2.	Finding the power connection between the kettle and the plug	104
4.2.1.	The being, the observing and the selection of an idea	105
4.2.1.1.	The self of the researcher	105
4.2.2.	Taking action, encounter and project (3/10/2000)	108
4.2.2.1.	"Finding entrances"	108
4.2.3.	Taking action, encounter and project (9/10/2000)	109
4.2.3.1.	"The first meeting"	109
4.2.3.2.	Thinking, evaluating (a) processes and (b) content	

	and making sense	110
4.2.4.	Plan next action and encounter (the planning phase)	111
4.2.5.	Take next action (16/10/2000)	111
4.2.5.1.	“The child within knows”	111
4.2.5.2.	Evaluate (a) processes, (b) content and making sense	112
4.2.6.	Taking next action and encounter (23/10/2000)	113
2.2.6.1.	“They’re not interested”	113
4.2.6.2.	Thinking, evaluating (a) process, (b) content and making sense	115
4.2.7.	Take next action and encounter	116
4.2.7.1.	“Seeking connection”	116
4.2.7.2.	Thinking, evaluating (a) process (b) content and making sense	118
4.2.7.2.1.	“The power connections between the kettle and the plug”	118
4.2.8.	Plan next action	119
4.2.9.	Take next action, encounter the project (13/11/2000)	119
4.2.9.1.	“Can’t say it does not hurt”	119
4.2.10.	Communicating findings to supervisor and participants	120
4.2.11.	Encounter (20/11/2000 and 27/11/2000)	120
4.2.11.1.	“The key “/ “ The power connection”	120
4.2.11.2.	Thinking, evaluating (a) process, (b) content and making sense	120
4.2.12.	Plan next action (February 2001)	121

4.2.13.	Take next action, encounter the project (7/2/2001 and 14/2/2001)	122
4.2.13.1.	“Connection have many forms”	122
4.2.13.2.	Making sense	123
4.2.14.	Leaving the field	124
4.3.	Thematic description	125
4.3.1.	The lack of community	125
4.3.2.	The need for community	129
4.3.3.	The co-creation of community	133
4.3.3.1.	Other themes that arose while co-creating community	135
4.4.	Conclusion	143

Chapter 5

Conclusion and Recommendations



5.1.	Introduction	146
5.2.	Limitations	146
5.3.	Recommendations	148
5.3.1.	Specific recommendations	148
5.3.1.1.	Recommendation in relation to parents	148
5.3.1.2.	Recommendation in relation to teachers	148
5.3.1.3.	Recommendation in relation to children	150
5.3.2.	General recommendation	150
5.4.	Conclusion	150

References

Appendix A- 161

Questionnaire format

Letter of consent from school

Parent- teacher correspondences

Appendix B- 165

Data collected from interviews

Transcription



ABSTRACT

The Department of Education encourages parents to become involved in their children's education by joining the school governing bodies. However, serving on a governing body is not the ultimate form of parental involvement.

Governing bodies are involved at the macro level of planning which involves the holistic planning and management of the school, they are not involved at the micro level which deals with the day to day issues that arise within the classroom.

Teachers at the Geluksdal Primary School say that they cannot give children with learning difficulties the individual attention with an average of forty-five pupils per class. They have found that more than fifty percent of the children they teach do not understand the lessons. Teachers feel that the school day is short and the number of children struggling too great for them to intervene effectively. They welcome parental involvement and think that parents should assist their children individually at home.

This qualitative action research study is a partnership between the researcher, parents (with children who have learning difficulties) and teachers for the co-creation of community in the best interest of children's educational development. The co-creation of community between parents and teachers requires of them to meet with each other to discuss children's needs and difficulties and to attend to these needs and difficulties. The co-creation of

community refers to the joint effort of parents and teachers to better the education of children with learning problems.

The focus group consisted of five parents who committed themselves and three parents who moved in and out of the group. Seven teachers participated in this study but failed to commit themselves to the forming of community.

These teachers seemed de-motivated from disappointing past experiences to get parents involved in children's education, but to little avail. It appeared as if they did not believe that meeting with parents after school to build a relationship in the best interest of children would make a difference, and did not think it to be important. Another important factor that could be related to the teachers' reactions, was that they held an 'out-there' philosophy which suggested that the problems experienced by children with learning difficulties was caused by the home. The research was continued with the hope to raise the teachers' consciousness of their involvement in the educational progress of children with learning difficulties. Three teachers were interviewed individually on their views of parental involvement in education.

Results showed that the co-creation of community between parents and teachers do have an impact on children's educational development. Those parents who were committed to become involved in their children's education found that their children's progress at school improved considerably.

OPSOMMING

Die Departement van Onderwys moeding ouers aan om deel te neem aan hul kinders se opvoeding deur betrokke te raak by die skool se beheerliggaam. Alhoewel hierdie betrokkenheid aangemoedig word, is dit nie die enigste vorm van ouer betrokkenheid wat 'n verskil maak nie. Onderwysers van Geluksdal Primêre skool stel dit dat hulle nie kinders met 'n leergestremdheid nie die nodige aandag kan gee nie, omdat hul klasse dikwels meer as vyftig leerlinge op 'n slag moet akkomodeer. Onderwysers het bevind dat meer as vyftig persent van die leerlinge nie die betrokke les verstaan nie. Die relatiewe kort skooldag en die groot aantal leerlinge per klas, vererger die situasie en onderwysers voel dat hulle nie effektief tussen beide kan tree nie. Onderwysers moedig ouer betrokkenheid aan en is van mening dat ouers tuis aan kinders individuele hulp kan verleen.

Hierdie kwalitatiewe, aksie navorsing studie is die gevolg van samewerking tussen die navorser, ouers (met leergestremde kinders) en onderwysers by die Geluksdal Laer Skool. Die doel met die vestig van hierdie verhouding was die medeskepping van 'n gemeenskap wat die leerling se opvoedkundige ontwikkeling sal optimiseer.

Die fokusgroep het bestaan uit vyf betrokke ouers wat gereeld die groep bygewoon het, en drie ouers wat die groep ongereeld bygewoon het. Die ouers het weekliks bymekaar gekom om ouer betrokkenheid in kinders se

opvoeding te bespreek. Sewe onderwysers het deelgeneem aan die studie, maar het hulself nie verbind tot die vorming van gemeenskap met ouers. Die onderwysers het telkemale in die verlede probeer om ouers betrokke te kry by kinders se opvoeding, met min sukses. Die onderwysers by die skool was gedemotiveerd en dit het voorgekom asof hulle nie geglo het dat naskoolse besprekings met ouers 'n groot verskil kon maak nie. Hulle het dit dus nie belangrik geag om met ouers te ontmoet vir die medeskepping van 'n verhouding ter voordeel van kinders met leerprobleme nie. Nog 'n belangrike faktor wat verband kon hou met die onderwysers se negatiewe reaksies, was dat hulle die probleme ondervind deur kinders met leerprobleme toegeskryf aan instansies buite die skool, soos die huis. Die navorsing het voortgegaan met die hoop om onderwysers bewus te maak van hulle betrokkenheid by kinders se vordering op skool. Metertyd het die ouers individuele afsprake gereël met die onderwysers wat hulle kinders onderrig, om hulle kinders se vordering te bespreek. Tydens die ontmoeting het ouers ook advies van onderwysers gevra oor hoe hulle hul kinders tuis kon bystaan. Daar is individuele onderhoude gevoer met drie onderwysers. Hul siening ten opsigte van ouer betrokkenheid in opvoeding is ondersoek.

Die bevindings toon dat die medeskepping van 'n gemeenskap tussen ouers en onderwysers wel 'n positiewe impak op die kind se opvoekundige ontwikkeling het. Die ouers wat 'n blywende betrokkenheid toon in hul kinders se opvoeding het gevind dat hul kinders se skolastiese ontwikkeling tot 'n groot mate verbeter het.