

**EQUAL OPPORTUNITY AND
LIBERAL EQUALITY**

BY

MARIUS WILLEM VAN WYK

THESIS

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

DOCTOR PHILOSOPHIAE



FACULTIES OF ARTS AND LAW

of the

RAND AFRIKAANS UNIVERSITY

**Promoter: Prof H.P.P. Lötter
Co-Promoter: Prof E.F.J. Malherbe**

May 2001

DECLARATION



UNIVERSITY
OF

I declare that the thesis submitted for the degree Doctor Philosophiae by me at the Rand Afrikaans University, is my own work, other than resources acknowledged by me, and has not been submitted previously for any other degree or diploma to any other tertiary institution

.....
MARIUS WILLEM VAN WYK

DATE: May 2001

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to answer the following question: *Can a feasible liberal model be developed and defended that promotes and integrates egalitarian and "non-egalitarian" and aggregative values, while at the same time respecting the individual as an autonomous agent, who, as such, may be held accountable for the consequences of his decisions and actions but not for those consequences that may be attributed to his circumstances?* In order to answer the research question a systematic examination of the leading liberal conceptions of equality, with special attention to an alternative approach in terms of a substantive equality of opportunity model, is undertaken.

The research falls into three distinguishable parts. In the first part the role of equality in Rawls's theory of justice as fairness was critically analysed. The conclusion reached was that whereas Rawls's theory provides valuable insights on which to base a liberal theory of equality his theory fails to provide specific guidelines on which to make the crucial distinction between autonomous choices and choices dictated by the person's circumstances. In the second part the conceptions of equality found in the work of Nozick, Dworkin, Sen and Walzer were compared with that of Rawls and explored for its potential for grounding a liberal conception of equality based on equality of opportunity. Limited support for the compound equality of opportunity model is found in Nozick's libertarian conception of equality as formal equality of opportunity. Conceptually Dworkin's conception of equality as equality of resources was found to be a powerful model to distinguish between those circumstances over which the individual has no control and therefore should not be held accountable and those choices over which the individual exercises control and hence should be held accountable. Moving from the equality of resources approaches, as exemplified by Rawls and Dworkin, to the equality of opportunity approaches, Sen's equality of capability is discussed. Of particular importance is Sen's insight that equality of opportunity requires one to take into consideration the individual's capacity to convert the means at his or her disposal into ends and henceforth that these differential conversion capacities should be equalized. The compound equality of opportunity model proposed in this thesis in important part rests on the assumption that equality is a complex value which derives its value from the context in which it is employed. As such Walzer's idea of complex equality is valuable in that it recognizes the plurality and the contextuality of the ideal of equality. In the third part the compound equality of opportunity model is introduced by distinguishing between equality of results and equality of opportunity approaches. It is then argued that it is possible, even though imperfectly, to distinguish

between circumstances and autonomous choice by means of statistical techniques whereby people may be grouped by identifying independent variables predicative of success in the different spheres of life. It is shown how this approach may enable us to hold people accountable for their autonomous actions and choices but not for their circumstances. It is further argued that efficiency and aggregative considerations may operate as moderating variables in the different spheres of life. It is also argued that this model will promote accountability at the individual as well as the institutional level and how substantive equality of opportunity should predominate at the early stages of life while formal equality of opportunity should prevail at the point where positions of some importance are distributed so that third party interests are protected. This thesis is concluded with the claim that conceptually the model is feasible and capable of implementation. If we take seriously the idea of individual autonomy and accountability, and the notion that equality of opportunity provides the means to isolate the consequences of individual effort and motivation from the consequences of (undeserved) circumstances, then something like the compound equality of opportunity model may provide the means to give expression to our convictions.

LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
Table 2.1.:	Equally Just Distributions Under Utilitarianism	43
Table 3.1.:	Evolution of Rawls's Theory From A Comprehensive to A Political Conception of Justice	50
Table 3.2.:	Final Statement of the Two Principles of Justice and the Priority Rules	69
Table 4.1.:	Classification of Liberties and Their Respective Distributive Principles	80
Table 4.2.:	Alternative Basic Structures with Different Indices for the Two Principles of Justice	85
Table 5.1.:	Four Possible Meanings of the Second Principle	96
Table 6.1.:	Expanded List of Primary Goods	167
Table 8.1:	Results of a Poll Regarding an Aspect of the Comprehensive Conception of the Good [Religion] of a Political Candidate	204
Table 10.1.:	Spherical Inequalities Yield Aggregate Or Complex Equality	276
Table 11.1.:	Relative Importance of Substantive/Formal Equality of Opportunity Over A Life-time	304

Table 11.2.:	Alternative Social Arrangements with Different Indices for Opportunities and Index Goods	305
Table 11.3.:	Population Parameters for Two Groups and the Actual Performance Scores of Four Individuals	320
Table 11.4.:	Splitting Individual Performance Into Its Circumstance and Autonomous Choice Components	321



UNIVERSITY
OF
JOHANNESBURG
LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>	
Figure 1.1.:	Possible Focal Variables of Equality	31
Figure 1.2.:	The Vectors of Justice	32
Figure 6.1.:	The Role of the “Reasonable” and the “Rational” in Rawls’s Four Stages	133
Figure 6.2.:	Affluence and Scarcity and the Range of Circumstances of Justice	141
Figure 6.3.:	Provision of Equality of Opportunity and Index Goods	157
Figure 8.1.:	Dworkin’s Conception of Equality of Resources	221
Figure 9.1.:	Example of the Relation Between Capabilities and Functionings	236
Figure 11.1.:	Two Groups, One of Which Has a Small Within-group Inequality and the Other with a Large Within-group Inequality	316

**Figure 11.2.: Decreased Probability of Appointing a Candidate
from a “Lower” Effort Level Group as the Job Specifications
 (“Efficiency” or “Third Party Considerations”) Increase**

326

CHAPTER DIVISION

CHAPTER 1:	INTRODUCTION	<i>Pages 1 – 37</i>
CHAPTER 2:	UTILITARIANISM AND EQUALITY	<i>Pages 38 – 46</i>
CHAPTER 3:	RAWLS’S “ORIGINAL POSITION” AND EQUALITY	<i>Pages 47 – 73</i>
CHAPTER 4:	RAWLS’S FIRST PRINCIPLE AND EQUALITY	<i>Pages 74 – 93</i>
CHAPTER 5:	RAWLS’S SECOND PRINCIPLE AND EQUALITY	<i>Pages 94 – 122</i>
CHAPTER 6:	RAWLS AND THE COMPOUND EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY MODEL	<i>Pages 123 – 169</i>
CHAPTER 7:	NOZICK’S ENTITLEMENT THEORY AND EQUALITY	<i>Pages 170 – 187</i>
CHAPTER 8:	DWORKIN’S LIBERAL EQUALITY	<i>Pages 188 – 225</i>
CHAPTER 9:	SEN’S CAPABILITY EQUALITY	

		<i>Pages 226 – 249</i>
CHAPTER 10:	WALZER'S COMPLEX EQUALITY	
		<i>Pages 250 – 282</i>
CHAPTER 11:	THE COMPOUND EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY MODEL	
		<i>Pages 283 – 348</i>
BIBLIOGRAPHY		
		<i>Pages 349 – 369</i>

DEDICATION



To the Memory of my Daughter,

Pulani Magdeléne van Wyk

[26-04-1986 to 31-01-2001]

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude towards the following persons:



- Prof Hennie Lötter, my promoter, for his willingness to accept me as a doctoral candidate and his invaluable guidance and patience thereafter.
- Prof Rassie Malherbe, for agreeing to act as associate promoter and for his contributions in this capacity.
- Prof Gretchen Carpenter, who was there at the beginning of the larger project of which this thesis forms a part and who will hopefully be there at the end.
- Delene, Morkel and Pulani van Wyk, my family, who allowed me the space to do this thesis [Pula, I am sad beyond words that you are not here to see the end of it].

ALL THE HONOUR TO GOD